

STATE	Date Call Occurred	Data Received (Y/N)
Georgia	5/29/12	Y
Wisconsin	5/29/12	Y
California RB5	6/1/12	Y
Tennessee	8/22/12	Y
Wyoming	10/15/12	Y
Alabama	10/18/12	Y
Montana	8/20/12	Y (117 in ICIS and unpermitted info from 2008)
Maryland	8/17/12	Y (already available)
Texas	8/23/12	Y (already on internet)
Ohio	5/9/12	Y (updated spreadsheet 9/17)
Colorado	8/28/12	Yes
Nebraska	9/17/12	Yes
Michigan	9/12/12	Yes (online but we requested a print out of screen)
Iowa	9/12/12	Yes (online)
Washington	8/23/12	Yes for permitted but have not received
Mississippi	10/16/12	Yes, but have not received
Vermont	10/17/12	Yes, but have not received
South Carolina	Emailed 9/27	
Nevada	Hema	
Florida	Unresponsive - Hema contacted 3 times	
Minnesota	Waiting - Est. 10/31	

Becky:

Sent email
request for a
spreadsheet,
more user
friendly format
on 9/14 at 1:22
pm

Becky:

Called Nick to
followup with
Sherry from Ag
Dept and Jon from
Dept of Ecology for
those 12 ops

STATE	Date Call Occurred	Data Received (Y/N)
Pennsylvania	8/24/12	Have not received
Virginia	9/5/12	Have not received
West Virginia	5/25/12	N
Connecticut	5/31/12	N
Delaware	8/20/12	N (but they said yes)
New York	5/24/12	N (in ICIS)
Maine	5/29/12	N (in PCS)
New Hampshire	NA	NA
Alaska	NA	NA
Hawaii	NA	NA
Idaho	NA	NA
Massachusetts	NA	NA
New Mexico	NA	NA
Oklahoma	NA	NA
Rhode Island	NA	NA
Kansas	9/11/12	No
Illinois	No call	No - none available
Louisiana	9/17/12	Online - EDMS
Kentucky	9/26/12	will send
Missouri	9/26/12	will send excel
Utah	9/27/12	will send excel
Indiana	9/27/12	will send excel
North Carolina	5/1/12	Y
Oregon	5/2/12	Y
New Jersey	5/4/12	Y
North Dakota	5/10/12	Y
Arkansas	5/14/12	Y
South Dakota	5/22/12	Y

no data ava

Becky:

Region has spreadsheet. Emailed request 9/14 for an updated spreadsheet from the state

Becky:

Sent follow-up email on 9/11 with MOU at 4:24 pm

Becky:

Have spreadsheet from 2010 but would have to go through legal dept and records mgt request to get an updated spreadsheet

State	Date Call Occurred	Data Received	Data for Entire CAFO Universe	Format of Data at State	Electronic Data for 5 Items	Data for 5 Items In Any Format	Data Beyond the 5 Items In Any Format	OK to Make Public	Notes
Kentucky	9/26/12	Not yet	No	H	No	Yes	No	Yes	Acres and some number and type of animals confined are not electronic but it is in paper format in the NMP
Missouri	9/26/12	Not yet	Yes	H	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Utah	9/27/12	Not yet	Yes	H	No	Yes	Yes	No	All records are in ICIS, even the inspection reports for the 8 unpermitted CAFOs. Acres are not electronic.
Indiana	9/27/12	Not yet	Yes	H	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Becky:
State has an NPDES program and a state no discharge permit. The state no discharge permit only covers wet handling systems down to a lower threshold than federal requirements. State says these permits don't apply to beef or dry poultry.

Becky:
Have the capability to track acres, but it's not consistent. This info is in the annual reports.

Becky:
Excel spreadsheet - no database

Becky:
Track type and capacity of manure storage, manure generation using book values, name of receiving waterbody, HUC, whether the operation exports manure transfers

Becky:
Data beyond the five include inspection reports, name of nearest surface water, NMP (but they don't have hard copies of the actual NMP)

Becky:
Posting may need Director approval but all the records are available through FOIA

Becky:
State would like to verify any information that EPA posts. All MO info is an open record and gets requested a lot.

Becky:
State does not really want the information to be easy to access.

LEGEND:

Data Received

Data for Entire CAFO Universe

Format of Data

OK to Make Public

Column indicates whether the state sent electronic data to EPA.

Column indicates whether the state has data for the entire CAFO universe either because all CAFOs are permitted under the NPDES program or state permitting program or state requires non-discharging CAFOs to register or have an on-site inspection.

Column indicates E - electronic; Paper - paper; H - hybrid (paper and electronic records)

EPA asked whether the state would be OK with EPA making any information shared with the Agency publicly available on a website.

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 6:07 PM
Subject: FW: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Thx!

We also just now got NV data. No need to reach out now.

Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

-----"Kirk Tracy" <ktracy@acwa-us.org> wrote: -----

=====

To: Becky Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
From: "Kirk Tracy" <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
Date: 01/24/2013 12:11PM
Cc: "Sean Rolland" <srolland@acwa-us.org>, Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: FW: EPA Request for CAFO Data

=====

Becky,

See the email below from Delaware NREC this morning (we did not make any request to them beyond what we spoke about yesterday). They are requesting a FOIA. We will leave it with you guys to consider next steps for Delaware (of course we can chat about it with you as needed).

When we spoke yesterday, we had said ACWA would hold off on any follow-ups, so we appear to remain on the same course, just with this newly supplied information.

Thanks,

Kirk

From: Bonniwell Christie (DNREC) [mailto:Christie.Bonniwell@state.de.us]

Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 10:50 AM

To: Kirk Tracy

Cc: Ashby Bryan A. (DNREC); Churchill Brian (DNREC); Towle Larry (DDA)

Subject: FW: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Tracy,

We went through the list that you provided and highlighted the items that are easily accessible from a database. The other items will require a paper review. As the database is updated other information may be easily accessible in the future. However, we would like you to complete a more formal request through the FOIA process.

14 items listed in Settlement Agreement

1. Name and address of the owner and operator;
2. If contract operation, name and address of the integrator;
3. Location (longitude and latitude) of the operation;
4. Type of facility;
5. Number and type(s) of animals;
6. Type and capacity of manure storage;
7. Quantity of manure, process wastewater, and litter generated annually by the CAFO;
8. Whether the CAFO land-applies;
9. Available acreage for land application;
10. If the CAFO land-applies, whether it implements a nutrient management plan for land application;
11. If the CAFO land-applies, whether it employs nutrient management practices and keeps records on site consistent with 40 CFR 122.23(e); 12. If the CAFO does not land apply, alternative uses of manure, litter and/or wastewater;
13. Whether the CAFO transfers manure off site, and if so, quantity transferred to recipient(s) of transferred manure; and
14. Whether the CAFO has applied for an NPDES permit-All entries in the database have applied for a NPDES permit

5 items listed in Proposed Rule

- (i) The legal name of the owner of the CAFO or an authorized representative, their mailing address, e-mail address (if available) and primary telephone number. An authorized representative must be an individual who is involved with the management or representation of the CAFO. The authorized representative must be located within reasonable proximity to the CAFO, and must be authorized and sufficiently informed to respond to inquiries from EPA on behalf of the CAFO;
- (ii) The location of the CAFO's production area identified by the latitude and longitude or by the street address.

(iii) If the owner or operator has NPDES permit coverage as of [the effective date of final rule], the date of issuance of coverage under the NPDES permit, and the permit number. If the owner or operator has submitted an NPDES permit application or a Notice of

Intent as of [the effective date of final rule] but has not received coverage, the date the owner or operator submitted the permit application or Notice of Intent;

(iv) For the previous 12-month period, identification of each animal type confined either in open confinement including partially covered area, or housed totally under roof at the CAFO for 45 days or more, and the maximum number of each animal type confined at the CAFO for 45 days or more; and

(v) Where the owner or operator land applies manure, litter, and process wastewater, the total number of acres under the control of the owner or operator available for land application.

I have included the link for the FOIA request form.

<http://www.dnrec.state.de.us/water2000/FOIAForm.asp>

If you have any questions, please let us know. You can contact myself, Brian Churchill, or Bryan Ashby at (302)-739-9946.

Thank you,

Christie Bonniwell

Senior Environmental Compliance Specialist

Division of Water

Surface Water Discharge Section

302-739-9946

302-739-9343 (direct)

302-739-8369 (fax)

From: Ashby Bryan A. (DNREC)
Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2013 9:39 AM
To: Churchill Brian (DNREC); Bonniwell Christie (DNREC)
Subject: FW: EPA Request for CAFO Data

From: Kirk Tracy [mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:49 PM
To: Ashby Bryan A. (DNREC)
Subject: RE: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Bryan,

It's sort of basic facility/permitting info (some examples below). The key for now is that EPA is just trying to collect any data easily accessible and shareable electronically. The goal is not to create any work.

This is related to the 2008 settlement agreement from Waterkeeper Alliance v. EPA, 399 F.3d 486 (2d Cir. 2005). The settlement agreement had listed the 14 items (below) as what EPA would propose, through a rule, to collect. In the proposed rule (76 Fed. Reg. 65431, Oct. 21, 2011), which was never made final, EPA shortened the list to only 5 items (second list, below). The current effort is based at targeting those 5 items, at a minimum, if that information is readily available.

More information (e.g., other items from the list of 14) is welcome, if available.

14 items listed in Settlement Agreement

1. Name and address of the owner and operator;
2. If contract operation, name and address of the integrator;
3. Location (longitude and latitude) of the operation;
4. Type of facility;
5. Number and type(s) of animals;
6. Type and capacity of manure storage;
7. Quantity of manure, process wastewater, and litter generated annually by the CAFO;

8. Whether the CAFO land-applies;
9. Available acreage for land application;
10. If the CAFO land-applies, whether it implements a nutrient management plan for land application;
11. If the CAFO land-applies, whether it employs nutrient management practices and keeps records on site consistent with 40 CFR 122.23(e); 12. If the CAFO does not land apply, alternative uses of manure, litter and/or wastewater;
13. Whether the CAFO transfers manure off site, and if so, quantity transferred to recipient(s) of transferred manure; and
14. Whether the CAFO has applied for an NPDES permit

5 items listed in Proposed Rule

- (i) The legal name of the owner of the CAFO or an authorized representative, their mailing address, e-mail address (if available) and primary telephone number. An authorized representative must be an individual who is involved with the management or representation of the CAFO. The authorized representative must be located within reasonable proximity to the CAFO, and must be authorized and sufficiently informed to respond to inquiries from EPA on behalf of the CAFO;
- (ii) The location of the CAFO's production area identified by the latitude and longitude or by the street address.
- (iii) If the owner or operator has NPDES permit coverage as of [the effective date of final rule], the date of issuance of coverage under the NPDES permit, and the permit number. If the owner or operator has submitted an NPDES permit application or a Notice of Intent as of [the effective date of final rule] but has not received coverage, the date the owner or operator submitted the permit application or Notice of Intent;
- (iv) For the previous 12-month period, identification of each animal type confined either in open confinement including partially covered area, or housed totally under roof at the CAFO for 45 days or more, and the maximum number of each animal type confined at the CAFO for 45 days or more; and
- (v) Where the owner or operator land applies manure, litter, and process wastewater, the total number of acres under the control of the owner or operator available for land application.

Hopefully this helps.

Thank you,

Kirk

From: Ashby Bryan A. (DNREC) [mailto:Bryan.Ashby@state.de.us]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:10 PM
To: Kirk Tracy
Subject: RE: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Kirk,

What specific information were you looking for?

Bryan A. Ashby
Program Manager

DNREC - Surface Water Section

89 Kings Highway

Dover, DE 19901

Bryan.Ashby@state.de.us

Phone: 302-739-9946

Fax: 302-739-8369

From: Kirk Tracy [mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org <mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org>]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 1:07 PM
To: Stiller Kathleen M. (DNREC)
Cc: Webb Elaine Z. (DNREC); Towle Larry (DDA); Sean Rolland
Subject: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Good afternoon Kathy,

As you may be aware ACWA is assisting EPA with assessing the baseline level of data that states are collecting on CAFOs and AFOs. Towards the end of last year Delaware had expressed some willingness to share a snap shot in time of your CAFO data that is easily exported from your system.

EPA has asked us to follow up and see if that is still an option. This request is not intended to create significant work for you or your staff, but just to gather any easily transferable information for further assessment. We recognize this might mean reaching out to your State Ag counterpart.

An excel spreadsheet or comma-delimited file would likely be the easiest to manage/manipulate. At the end of this analysis, EPA and ACWA will be discussing whether it is necessary to revisit the CAFO data submission rule that was withdrawn. It is our view that the more comprehensive the analysis is, the more likely EPA will be to maintain the current position that a CAFO data submission rule is unnecessary. If you have already shared this data with your Region or EPA HQ, please let us know.

Also, EPA at some point may wish to make this snapshot of data public (with all the caveats) - would this be OK with Delaware? In the alternative, we know in some states this data has become a political hot potato so we fully understand if Delaware's position has changed on the sharing of this data with EPA without a more formal request.

Thanks for your time and consideration and we look forward to hearing from you.

Kirk Tracy, J.D., M.S.E.

Environmental Policy Graduate Fellow

Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"

202-756-0600 (T); 202-756-0605 (F)

1221 Connecticut Ave. , NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

ktracy@acwa-us.org <mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org> ; www.acwa-us.org <<http://www.asiwpca.org/>>

Save the Date: 2013 Mid-Year Meeting, Feb. 24-26, National Harbor, MD <http://www.acwa-us.org/#!__mid-year-meeting-2013>

Follow ACWA on Twitter -- @CleanWaterACWA

From: Kirk Tracy <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 12:11 PM
Subject: FW: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Becky,

See the email below from Delaware NREC this morning (we did not make any request to them beyond what we spoke about yesterday). They are requesting a FOIA. We will leave it with you guys to consider next steps for Delaware (of course we can chat about it with you as needed).

When we spoke yesterday, we had said ACWA would hold off on any follow-ups, so we appear to remain on the same course, just with this newly supplied information.

Thanks,
Kirk

From: Bonniwell Christie (DNREC) [mailto:Christie.Bonniwell@state.de.us]
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 10:50 AM
To: Kirk Tracy
Cc: Ashby Bryan A. (DNREC); Churchill Brian (DNREC); Towle Larry (DDA)
Subject: FW: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Tracy,

We went through the list that you provided and highlighted the items that are easily accessible from a database. The other items will require a paper review. As the database is updated other information may be easily accessible in the future. However, we would like you to complete a more formal request through the FOIA process.

14 items listed in Settlement Agreement

1. Name and address of the owner and operator;
2. If contract operation, name and address of the integrator;
3. Location (longitude and latitude) of the operation;
4. Type of facility;
5. Number and type(s) of animals;
6. Type and capacity of manure storage;
7. Quantity of manure, process wastewater, and litter generated annually by the CAFO;
8. Whether the CAFO land-applies;
9. Available acreage for land application;
10. If the CAFO land-applies, whether it implements a nutrient management plan for land application;
11. If the CAFO land-applies, whether it employs nutrient management practices and keeps records on site consistent with 40 CFR 122.23(e);
12. If the CAFO does not land apply, alternative uses of manure, litter and/or wastewater;
13. Whether the CAFO transfers manure off site, and if so, quantity transferred to recipient(s) of transferred manure; and
14. Whether the CAFO has applied for an NPDES permit-All entries in the database have applied for a NPDES permit

5 items listed in Proposed Rule

- (i) The legal name of the owner of the CAFO or an authorized representative, their mailing address, e-mail address (if available) and primary telephone number. An authorized representative must be an individual who is involved with the management or representation of the CAFO. The authorized representative must be located within reasonable proximity to the CAFO, and must be authorized and sufficiently informed to respond to inquiries from EPA on behalf of the CAFO;
- (ii) The location of the CAFO's production area identified by the latitude and longitude or by the street address.
- (iii) If the owner or operator has NPDES permit coverage as of [the effective date of final rule], the date of issuance of coverage under the NPDES permit, and the permit number. If the owner or operator has submitted an NPDES permit application or a Notice of

Intent as of [the effective date of final rule] but has not received coverage, the date the owner or operator submitted the permit application or Notice of Intent;

(iv) For the previous 12-month period, identification of each animal type confined either in open confinement including partially covered area, or housed totally under roof at the CAFO for 45 days or more, and the maximum number of each animal type confined at the CAFO for 45 days or more; and

(v) Where the owner or operator land applies manure, litter, and process wastewater, the total number of acres under the control of the owner or operator available for land application.

I have included the link for the FOIA request form.

<http://www.dnrec.state.de.us/water2000/FOIAForm.asp>

If you have any questions, please let us know. You can contact myself, Brian Churchill, or Bryan Ashby at (302)-739-9946.

Thank you,

Christie Bonniwell

Senior Environmental Compliance Specialist

Division of Water

Surface Water Discharge Section

302-739-9946

302-739-9343 (direct)

302-739-8369 (fax)

From: Ashby Bryan A. (DNREC)

Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2013 9:39 AM

To: Churchill Brian (DNREC); Bonniwell Christie (DNREC)

Subject: FW: EPA Request for CAFO Data

From: Kirk Tracy [mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org]

Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:49 PM

To: Ashby Bryan A. (DNREC)

Subject: RE: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Bryan,

It's sort of basic facility/permitting info (some examples below). The key for now is that EPA is just trying to collect any data easily accessible and shareable electronically. The goal is not to create any work.

This is related to the 2008 settlement agreement from *Waterkeeper Alliance v. EPA*, 399 F.3d 486 (2d Cir. 2005). The settlement agreement had listed the 14 items (below) as what EPA would propose, through a rule, to collect. In the proposed rule (76 Fed. Reg. 65431, Oct. 21, 2011), which was never made final, EPA shortened the list to only 5 items (second list, below). The current effort is based at targeting those 5 items, at a minimum, if that information is readily available. More information (e.g., other items from the list of 14) is welcome, if available.

14 items listed in Settlement Agreement

1. Name and address of the owner and operator;
2. If contract operation, name and address of the integrator;
3. Location (longitude and latitude) of the operation;

4. Type of facility;
5. Number and type(s) of animals;
6. Type and capacity of manure storage;
7. Quantity of manure, process wastewater, and litter generated annually by the CAFO;
8. Whether the CAFO land-applies;
9. Available acreage for land application;
10. If the CAFO land-applies, whether it implements a nutrient management plan for land application;
11. If the CAFO land-applies, whether it employs nutrient management practices and keeps records on site consistent with 40 CFR 122.23(e); 12. If the CAFO does not land apply, alternative uses of manure, litter and/or wastewater;
13. Whether the CAFO transfers manure off site, and if so, quantity transferred to recipient(s) of transferred manure; and
14. Whether the CAFO has applied for an NPDES permit

5 items listed in Proposed Rule

- (i) The legal name of the owner of the CAFO or an authorized representative, their mailing address, e-mail address (if available) and primary telephone number. An authorized representative must be an individual who is involved with the management or representation of the CAFO. The authorized representative must be located within reasonable proximity to the CAFO, and must be authorized and sufficiently informed to respond to inquiries from EPA on behalf of the CAFO;
- (ii) The location of the CAFO's production area identified by the latitude and longitude or by the street address.
- (iii) If the owner or operator has NPDES permit coverage as of [the effective date of final rule], the date of issuance of coverage under the NPDES permit, and the permit number. If the owner or operator has submitted an NPDES permit application or a Notice of Intent as of [the effective date of final rule] but has not received coverage, the date the owner or operator submitted the permit application or Notice of Intent;
- (iv) For the previous 12-month period, identification of each animal type confined either in open confinement including partially covered area, or housed totally under roof at the CAFO for 45 days or more, and the maximum number of each animal type confined at the CAFO for 45 days or more; and
- (v) Where the owner or operator land applies manure, litter, and process wastewater, the total number of acres under the control of the owner or operator available for land application.

Hopefully this helps.

Thank you,
Kirk

From: Ashby Bryan A. (DNREC) [<mailto:Bryan.Ashby@state.de.us>]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:10 PM
To: Kirk Tracy
Subject: RE: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Kirk,

What specific information were you looking for?

Bryan A. Ashby
Program Manager
DNREC – Surface Water Section
89 Kings Highway
Dover, DE 19901
Bryan.Ashby@state.de.us
Phone: 302-739-9946
Fax: 302-739-8369

From: Kirk Tracy [mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 1:07 PM
To: Stiller Kathleen M. (DNREC)
Cc: Webb Elaine Z. (DNREC); Towle Larry (DDA); Sean Rolland
Subject: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Good afternoon Kathy,

As you may be aware ACWA is assisting EPA with assessing the baseline level of data that states are collecting on CAFOs and AFOs. Towards the end of last year Delaware had expressed some willingness to share a snap shot in time of your CAFO data that is easily exported from your system. EPA has asked us to follow up and see if that is still an option. This request is not intended to create significant work for you or your staff, but just to gather any easily transferable information for further assessment. We recognize this might mean reaching out to your State Ag counterpart.

An excel spreadsheet or comma-delimited file would likely be the easiest to manage/manipulate. At the end of this analysis, EPA and ACWA will be discussing whether it is necessary to revisit the CAFO data submission rule that was withdrawn. It is our view that the more comprehensive the analysis is, the more likely EPA will be to maintain the current position that a CAFO data submission rule is unnecessary. If you have already shared this data with your Region or EPA HQ, please let us know.

Also, EPA at some point may wish to make this snapshot of data public (with all the caveats) – would this be OK with Delaware? In the alternative, we know in some states this data has become a political hot potato so we fully understand if Delaware's position has changed on the sharing of this data with EPA without a more formal request.

Thanks for your time and consideration and we look forward to hearing from you.

Kirk Tracy, J.D., M.S.E.
Environmental Policy Graduate Fellow
Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"
202-756-0600 (T); 202-756-0605 (F)
1221 Connecticut Ave. , NW, 2d Floor
Washington, DC 20036
ktracy@acwa-us.org; www.acwa-us.org
Save the Date: 2013 Mid-Year Meeting, Feb. 24-26, National Harbor, MD
Follow ACWA on Twitter -- @CleanWaterACWA

From: Kirk Tracy <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 5:40 PM
Subject: FW: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Becky,

I got this reply from Washington. If you go to the link and simply search for "CAFO" it brings back a list of results that you can then drill down into. I *think* this is what we are looking for, but maybe you can take a look and verify (let me know if I can ask Jon any follow up questions). I asked Jon how soon he plans to have the rest of the records completed. I will let you know what we hear.

Thanks,
Kirk

From: Jennings, Jonathan (ECY) [mailto:joje461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Kirk Tracy
Subject: RE: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Hi Kirk,

I've actually just completed uploading a bunch of files for the currently permitted CAFOs in Washington to our PARIS database. This is available to the public and can be accessed here:



I still have more records to upload, but this is a start.

Redaction: Non-responsive

Jon Jennings
Aquatic Pesticides and CAFOs
Water Quality Program
WA State Dept. of Ecology
Phone: (360) 407-6283
Fax: (360) 407-6426
Email: jonathan.jennings@ecy.wa.gov

From: Kirk Tracy [mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 8:20 AM
To: Jennings, Jonathan (ECY)
Cc: Sean Rolland
Subject: EPA Request for CAFO Data

Hi Jon,

As you may be aware ACWA is assisting EPA with assessing the baseline level of data that states are collecting on CAFOs and AFOs. Towards the end of last year Washington had expressed some willingness to share a snap shot in time of your CAFO data that is easily exported from your system. We understand that the universe of permitted CAFOs in Washington is fairly small, but the data would be valuable to this effort. EPA has asked us to follow up and see if that is still an option. This request is not intended to create significant work for you or your staff, but just to gather any easily transferable information for further assessment. We have noted that the relevant records are written, rather than in electronic format, but I think there was talk of you (or one of your colleagues) transcribing them into electronic.

format. Hopefully this wouldn't take much effort since the requested data is a small number of fields. Please let us know if this makes sense and if you might have an idea of how soon the data could be shared.

An excel spreadsheet or comma-delimited file would likely be the easiest to manage/manipulate. At the end of this analysis, EPA and ACWA will be discussing whether it is necessary to revisit the CAFO data submission rule that was withdrawn. It is our view that the more comprehensive the analysis is, the more likely EPA will be to maintain the current position that a CAFO data submission rule is unnecessary.

Also, EPA at some point may wish to make this snapshot of data public (with all the caveats) – would this be OK with Washington? In the alternative, we know in some states this data has become a political hot potato so we fully understand if Washington's position has changed on the sharing of this data with EPA without a more formal request.

Thanks for your time and consideration and we look forward to hearing from you.

Kirk Tracy, J.D., M.S.E.

Environmental Policy Graduate Fellow

Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"

202-756-0600 (T); 202-756-0605 (F)

1221 Connecticut Ave., NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

ktracy@acwa-us.org; www.acwa-us.org

Save the Date: 2013 Mid-Year Meeting, Feb. 24-26, National Harbor, MD

Follow ACWA on Twitter -- @CleanWaterACWA

From: Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 11:02 AM
Subject: Fw: Example Table - First to be completed for 10 states - Review requested
Attachments: CAFO Data Collection Table_ACWA.xlsx; MOU with ACWA_specific tasks.docx

----- Forwarded by Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US on 01/16/2013 11:02 AM -----

From: Becky Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Sean Rolland" <srolland@acwa-us.org>
Cc: "Alexandra Dunn" <adunn@acwa-us.org>, Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, George Utting/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 11/02/2012 03:40 PM
Subject: RE: Example Table - First to be completed for 10 states - Review requested

Thanks, Sean!

I will set up a call for later next week after I review and think about your comments. I also am attaching a task/timeline tracking document that I drafted up for my personal use, which is preliminary.

It may be helpful to discuss my personal tracker during the call as well to make sure we are on the same page with where we want to go, and who is doing what role. Note, that some deliverables are not complete, but I can do that after our next meeting.

I made this tracker based on the meeting that we had with Ellen. It was my understanding that ACWA and EPA agreed that the short term role for ACWA was 1) to set up a call with FL (and possibly IL) and 2) to move forward with contacting the states that said they have the data (electronically) to determine what barriers exist with sharing that information with EPA.

I know the latter task can only occur after we complete the tracking table.

I had noted that the long term ACWA role was to involve the states in how to present final project results as well as review of the final project.

So, I am suggesting the following agenda for our call next week:

- 1) Discuss comments on excel tracking sheets
- 2) Discuss tasks to implement the MOU and timeframes
- 3) Discuss next steps in completing the table and approach to state follow-up

(See attached file: MOU with ACWA_specific tasks.docx)

Thoughts?

Thanks,
Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

 "Sean Rolland" ---11/02/2012 02:31:16 PM---Thanks Becky - sorry for the delay. I think we should have a call to discuss this further.

From: "Sean Rolland" <scrolland@acwa-us.org>
To: Becky Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Alexandra Dunn" <adunn@acwa-us.org>
Date: 11/02/2012 02:31 PM
Subject: RE: Example Table - First to be completed for 10 states - Review requested

Thanks Becky – sorry for the delay. I think we should have a call to discuss this further.

Here were my initial comments:

1. Column C is really a Yes/No answer and you may want to consider another column for "Date Data Received"? I also think you want to know if the data was submitted to EPA. Whether it was submitted electronically can be better teased out in Column E.
2. Would column D allow you to tease out unpermitted CAFO data? Do you need to distinguish between permitted and unpermitted universes (new column) ? I think I need more clarification on the wet/dry beef/poultry distinction and what this tells us about the data set.
3. If column E getting out how the data is maintained at the state, is column F getting out whether EPA now has the 5 elements electronically? In other words, will this help us highlight the places where state had the info but someone had to expend resources to get that info into an electronic format EPA? I am also thinking electronic might not be the right caveat. For example, a scanned PDF of the NMP is electronic but not exportable. Might want to consider rephrasing or adding a column that addresses utility?
4. I think you need a column that tracks the source of the data. Whether the data came from the environmental program, the state ag program, the federal ag agency, or some 3rd party source is important for future collection efforts, should they occur.
5. I think the public availability question will be heavily influenced by the geographic resolution. Keep things at the county level and you might have fewer concerns. I do think you need to track states that have expressed concerns with the desire to be transparent. I also think EPA needs to be sensitive to what level of protection they can offer. For example, if an ag program has the data but does not want it made more public than the state FOIA rules and exceptions require, EPA may wish not accept receipt until a legal analysis has occurred. This is especially relevant for any unpermitted data submitted. My two cents...
6. The notes section look pretty broad...might want to clarify the types of things you would put there.
7. I am assuming this table as an analytical tool for assessing the state of state data could be used to make the case to the on whether a data rule is or is not necessary?

Great start Becky. Let me know when you would like to spend a little time discussing my comments.

Sean

Sean Rolland, Esq.
Deputy Director
Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"
1221 Connecticut Ave. , NW, 2d Floor
Washington, DC 20036

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information protected from disclosure. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 8:32 AM
To: Sean Rolland
Cc: Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Example Table - First to be completed for 10 states - Review requested

Hi Sean,

Wanted to send you a sample of the table that I will be completing first for the 10 states (and then all the states). Please let me know if this works or if I need to add additional columns.

I only filled in 4 states as an example, but if this format works, I will add the other states.

Also, the Region did hear back from NV (which is one state that you were going to help set up). I'll let you know when the date finalized but likely to be 11/14/12. Will keep you posted on that front.

(See attached file: Data Collection Table_ACWA.xlsx)

Thanks,
Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418 *(See attached file: CAFO Data Collection Table_ACWA.xlsx)*

From: Becky_Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US%EPA@aa.ad.epa.gov
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 10:29 AM
Subject: MO call - CAFO data summary
Attachments: Summary of Missouri Call_email for Kirk.docx

Let me know if this will work for MO.

(See attached file: Summary of Missouri Call_email for Kirk.docx)

Thanks!
Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

MOU with ACWA: CAFO Data - Implementation Workplan
11/2/12

Background:

On July 12, 2012, EPA entered into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the Association of Clean Water Administrators (ACWA) to assist the Agency in obtaining information about concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs). This collaborative effort between EPA and ACWA will focus on identifying CAFOs and assist EPA in obtaining pertinent information about CAFOs on a state-by-state basis.”

Specifically, EPA and ACWA agreed to take the following steps over 18 months to implement the MOU:

- 1) Evaluate and determine how to more effectively collect information about CAFOs
- 2) Use best efforts to facilitate the collection and transfer of CAFO information currently maintained by states to the EPA; and
- 3) Design and complete a national overview showing how states manage AFOs including whether the state requires permits for AFOs, either under the NPDES program or other state permitting program.

The table below lists the specific tasks that meet these action items.

Task	Principal/ Lead	Timeframe (months)	Timeframe (calendar months)	Deliverables	Fulfills MOU Objective(s)
Establish MOU	EPA with ACWA	1	July 2012	Signed MOU between EPA and ACWA	NA
Complete calls with state permitting authorities	EPA	3	July – September 2012	State discussion summaries, table tracking sheets	1, 2
Update on MOU and initial planning	ACWA with EPA	1	August 2012	Shared state discussion guide with ACWA during the mid- year meeting (8/14/15); have a informal lunchtime discussion about CAFO data	1
Facilitate CAFO data discussion at the CAFO Roundtable national	ACWA with EPA	1	October 2012	EPA delivered a powerpoint presentation that presented highlights of progress to date	2

conference				on the CAFO data collection	
Encourage any state that has not completed the initial state call with EPA to go ahead and do so	ACWA	1	November 2012	Contact and set up call with state(s) (FL and possibly IL) that hasn't provided EPA with a timeframe for when the call can occur	2
Follow-up with the states that had electronic data available but have not provided that data to EPA	ACWA	3	November – December 2012	ACWA will discuss barriers to information sharing.	2
Organize received data for EPA internal use	EPA	3	November – January 2012	Format received data so that EPA may host information on the internal Geoplatform site for EPA users	3
Review received data from states and identify information gaps	EPA with ACWA	3	December – January 2012	Tracking tables and summaries	3
Review/brainstorm other sources of data to fill in the known information gaps	EPA with ACWA		December – February 2012	List of possible sources	1
State recommendations for project results	ACWA	1	January 2012	ACWA will present/discuss results of state workgroup recommendations to EPA.	3
Prepare final project	EPA	1	February – March 2012		3
Review of final project	ACWA	1	March 2012		3
Final project released	EPA	1	April 2012		3

DRAFT

INTERNAL

DO NOT RELEASE

MOU with ACWA: CAFO Data - Implementation Workplan

Background:

On July 12, 2012, EPA entered into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the Association of Clean Water Administrators (ACWA) to assist the Agency in obtaining information about concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs). This collaborative effort between EPA and ACWA will focus on identifying CAFOs and assist EPA in obtaining pertinent information about CAFOs on a state-by-state basis.”

Specifically, EPA and ACWA agreed to take the following steps over 18 months to implement the MOU:

- 1) Evaluate and determine how to more effectively collect information about CAFOs
- 2) Use best efforts to facilitate the collection and transfer of CAFO information currently maintained by states to the EPA; and
- 3) Design and complete a national overview showing how states manage AFOs including whether the state requires permits for AFOs, either under the NPDES program or other state permitting program.

The table below lists the specific tasks that meet these action items.

	Task	Principal/ Lead	Timeframe (months)	Timeframe (calendar months)	Deliverables	Fulfills MOU Objective(s)
1	Establish MOU	EPA with ACWA	1	July 2012	Signed MOU between EPA and ACWA	NA
2	Complete calls with state permitting authorities	EPA	3	July – September 2012	State discussion summaries, table tracking sheets	1, 2
3	Update on MOU and initial planning	ACWA with EPA	1	August 2012	Shared state discussion guide with ACWA during the mid- year meeting (8/14/15); have a informal lunchtime discussion about CAFO data	1
4	Facilitate CAFO data discussion at the CAFO Roundtable national conference	ACWA with EPA	1	October 2012	EPA delivered a powerpoint presentation that presented highlights of progress to date on the CAFO data collection	2

5	Encourage any state that has not completed the initial state call with EPA to go ahead and do so	ACWA	1	November 2012	Contact and set up call with state(s) (FL and possibly IL) that hasn't provided EPA with a timeframe for when the call can occur	2
7	Follow-up with the states that had electronic data available but have not provided that data to EPA	ACWA	3	Jan. – Feb 2013 2012	ACWA will discuss barriers to information sharing. EPA will deliver primary contact information to ACWA for the states that haven't sent electronic data.	2
8	Organize received data for EPA internal use	EPA	3	November – Feb. 2013	Format received data so that EPA may host information on the internal Geoplatform site for EPA users.	3
6	Review received data from states and identify information gaps	EPA with ACWA	3	December – January 2013	Tracking tables and summaries	3
9	Review/brainstorm other sources of data to fill in the known information gaps	EPA with ACWA		December – March 2013	List of possible sources	1
10	Determine how to address information gaps that can't be solved (i.e. determining that we are done)	ACWA with EPA		March 2013		
11	State recommendations for project results	ACWA	1	March 2013	ACWA will present/discuss results of state workgroup recommendations to EPA.	3
12	Prepare final project	EPA	2	April – May 2013		3
13	Review of final project	ACWA	2	May – June 2013		3
14	Final project released	EPA	2	June - July 2013		3

DRAFT

INTERNAL

DO NOT RELEASE

MOU with ACWA: CAFO Data - Implementation Workplan

Background:

On July 12, 2012, EPA entered into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the Association of Clean Water Administrators (ACWA) to assist the Agency in obtaining information about concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs). This collaborative effort between EPA and ACWA will focus on identifying CAFOs and assist EPA in obtaining pertinent information about CAFOs on a state-by-state basis.”

Specifically, EPA and ACWA agreed to take the following steps over 18 months to implement the MOU:

- 1) Evaluate and determine how to more effectively collect information about CAFOs
- 2) Use best efforts to facilitate the collection and transfer of CAFO information currently maintained by states to the EPA; and
- 3) Design and complete a national overview showing how states manage AFOs including whether the state requires permits for AFOs, either under the NPDES program or other state permitting program.

The table below lists the specific tasks that meet these action items.

	Task	Principal/ Lead	Timeframe (months)	Timeframe (calendar months)	Deliverables	Fulfills MOU Objective(s)
1	Establish MOU	EPA with ACWA	1	July 2012	Signed MOU between EPA and ACWA	NA
2	Complete calls with state permitting authorities	EPA	3	July – December 2012	State discussion summaries, table tracking sheets	1, 2
3	Update on MOU and initial planning	ACWA with EPA	1	August 2012	Shared state discussion guide with ACWA during the mid-year meeting (8/14/15); have a informal lunchtime discussion about CAFO data	1
4	Facilitate CAFO data discussion at the CAFO Roundtable national conference	ACWA with EPA	1	October 2012	EPA delivered a powerpoint presentation that presented highlights of progress to date on the CAFO data collection	2

5	Encourage any state that has not completed the initial state call with EPA to go ahead and do so	ACWA	1	November 2012	Contact and set up call with state(s) (FL and possibly IL) that hasn't provided EPA with a timeframe for when the call can occur	2
7	Follow-up with the states that had electronic data available but have not provided that data to EPA	ACWA	3	Jan. – Feb 2013	ACWA will discuss barriers to information sharing. EPA will deliver primary contact information to ACWA for the states that haven't sent electronic data.	2
8	Organize received data for EPA internal use	EPA	3	November – Feb. 2013	Format received data so that EPA may host information on the internal Geoplatform site for EPA users.	3
6	Review received data from states and identify information gaps	EPA with ACWA	3	December – January 2013	Tracking tables and summaries	3
9	Review/brainstorm other sources of data to fill in the known information gaps	EPA with ACWA		December – March 2013	List of possible sources	1
10	Determine how to address information gaps that can't be solved (i.e. determining that we are done)	ACWA with EPA		March 2013		
11	State recommendations for project results	ACWA	1	March 2013	ACWA will present/discuss results of state workgroup recommendations to EPA.	3
12	Prepare final project	EPA	2	April – May 2013		3
13	Review of final project	ACWA	2	May – June 2013		3
14	Final project released	EPA	2	June - July 2013		3

DRAFT

INTERNAL

DO NOT RELEASE

MOU with ACWA: CAFO Data - Implementation Workplan

Background:

On July 12, 2012, EPA entered into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the Association of Clean Water Administrators (ACWA) to assist the Agency in obtaining information about concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs). This collaborative effort between EPA and ACWA will focus on identifying CAFOs and assist EPA in obtaining pertinent information about CAFOs on a state-by-state basis.”

Specifically, EPA and ACWA agreed to take the following steps over 18 months to implement the MOU:

- 1) Evaluate and determine how to more effectively collect information about CAFOs
- 2) Use best efforts to facilitate the collection and transfer of CAFO information currently maintained by states to the EPA; and
- 3) Design and complete a national overview showing how states manage AFOs including whether the state requires permits for AFOs, either under the NPDES program or other state permitting program.

The table below lists the specific tasks that meet these action items.

	Task	Principal/ Lead	Timeframe (months)	Timeframe (calendar months)	Deliverables	MOU Objective
1	Establish MOU	EPA with ACWA	1	July 2012	Signed MOU between EPA and ACWA	NA
2	Complete calls with state permitting authorities	EPA	3	July – December 2012	State discussion summaries, table tracking sheets	1, 2
3	Update on MOU and initial planning	ACWA with EPA	1	August 2012	Shared state discussion guide with ACWA during the mid-year meeting (8/14/15); have a informal lunchtime discussion about CAFO data	1
4	Facilitate CAFO data discussion at the CAFO Roundtable national conference	ACWA with EPA	1	October 2012	EPA delivered a powerpoint presentation that presented highlights of progress to date on the CAFO data collection	2
5	Encourage any state that has not completed the initial state call with EPA to go	ACWA	1	November 2012	Contact and set up call with state(s) (FL and possibly IL) that hasn't provided EPA with a timeframe for	2

	ahead and do so				when the call can occur	
7	Follow-up with the states that had electronic data available but have not provided that data to EPA	ACWA	3	Jan. – Feb 2013	ACWA will discuss barriers to information sharing. EPA will deliver primary contact information to ACWA for the states that haven't sent electronic data.	2
8	Organize received data for EPA internal use	EPA	3	November – Feb. 2013	Format received data so that EPA may host information on the internal Geoplatform and Environmental Database Gateway site for EPA users.	3
9	Review received data from states and identify information gaps	EPA with ACWA	3	December – Feb. 2013	Tracking tables and summaries	3
9b	Review/brainstorm other sources of data to fill in the known information gaps ¹	EPA with ACWA		Jan. 2013 – March 2013	List of possible sources	1
10	Determine how to address information gaps that can't be solved (i.e. determining that we are done)	ACWA with EPA		March 2013	ACWA wants to have a state workgroup.	
11	State recommendations for project results	ACWA	1	March 2013 – April 2013	ACWA will present/discuss results of state workgroup recommendations to EPA.	3
12	Prepare final project	EPA	2	April – May 2013		3
13	Review of final project	ACWA	2	May – June 2013		3
14	Final project released	EPA	2	July 2013		3

¹ If all that is needed is contractor resources and time, will EPA try to populate the data that a states has in paper format? How does this affect the schedule. (KT)

From: Kirk Tracy <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 10:05 AM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

202-756-0600 ext. 4

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 10:04 AM
To: Kirk Tracy
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Can I get your telephone number Kirk?

Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

▼ "Kirk Tracy" ---01/16/2013 09:42:06 AM---Hi Becky,

From: "Kirk Tracy" <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
To: Becky Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Sean Rolland" <srolland@acwa-us.org>
Date: 01/16/2013 09:42 AM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Hi Becky,

I just got off the phone with John Madras of Missouri (john.madras@dnr.mo.gov) and he is trying to track down the latest communications EPA might have had with Missouri on this subject. I think he had a staff member on it who is no longer working with him, so he can't find the most recent emails/communications. It seems like they are willing and able to share some data but he wants to remind himself of whatever the latest communications/discussions were in case there's something he missed.

Are you able to track down whatever latest communications were had with Missouri? This would likely save them some time (even just a little bit) in gathering the data.

Thanks,
Kirk

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 2:09 PM
To: Sean Rolland
Cc: Kirk Tracy; Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Great, Sean! I am pretty wide open on Wednesday. Give me two options and I will send out a meeting invite.

Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Tel: 202.564.6418

▼ "Sean Rolland" ---01/14/2013 01:57:22 PM---Thanks Michele,

From: "Sean Rolland" <srolland@acwa-us.org>
To: Becky Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
Date: 01/14/2013 01:57 PM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Thanks Michele,

We started doing the outreach and were worried about the communication getting buried in folks' inbox over the break. Kirk has been sending out reminder emails today.

I am assuming you have not heard from any states yet or you would have a smaller list. ☺

It is a bit of a crazy time but maybe we can catch up next week. How does Wednesday look on your calendar?

Sean

Sean Rolland, Esq.

Deputy Director

Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"

1221 Connecticut Ave. , NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

(P:) 202-465-7179 (F:) 202-756-0605

srolland@acwa-us.org; www.acwa-us.org

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information protected from disclosure. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov>]

Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 10:44 AM

To: Sean Rolland

Cc: Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Happy New Year! Hard to believe we are half way through the month already.

I know you are probably getting ready to gear up (if you haven't already) for the upcoming national conference, but I was hoping to touch base with you, even if for 30 min, to discuss the CAFO data project.

I am attaching the updated MOU workplan as well as the completed table for each state - we are talking to SC tomorrow so that is the only one that is incomplete. The states that we are still awaiting some kind of information exchange include: DE, KY, MO, NV, VT, VA, and WA (i.e. 7 states I recall as listed before).

(See attached file: CAFO Data Collection Table_ACWA revised7.xlsx)

(See attached file: MOU with ACWA_specific tasks-revised_ACWA comments_December2.docx)

Thanks,
Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

From: Sean Rolland <srolland@acwa-us.org>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 2:29 PM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Any time in the morning before 11:00am and after 3:00pm is wide open.

Sean

Sean Rolland, Esq.
Deputy Director

Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"

1221 Connecticut Ave. , NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

(P:) 202-465-7179 (F:) 202-756-0605

srolland@acwa-us.org; www.acwa-us.org

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information protected from disclosure. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 11:09 AM
To: Sean Rolland
Cc: Kirk Tracy; Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Great, Sean! I am pretty wide open on Wednesday. Give me two options and I will send out a meeting invite.

Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

▼ "Sean Rolland" ---01/14/2013 01:57:22 PM---Thanks Michele,

From: "Sean Rolland" <srolland@acwa-us.org>
To: Becky Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
Date: 01/14/2013 01:57 PM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Thanks Michele,

We started doing the outreach and were worried about the communication getting buried in folks' inbox over the break. Kirk has

been sending out reminder emails today.

I am assuming you have not heard from any states yet or you would have a smaller list. ☺

It is a bit of a crazy time but maybe we can catch up next week. How does Wednesday look on your calendar?

Sean

Sean Rolland, Esq.

Deputy Director

Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"

1221 Connecticut Ave. , NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

(P:) 202-465-7179 (F:) 202-756-0605

srolland@acwa-us.org; www.acwa-us.org

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information protected from disclosure. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 10:44 AM

To: Sean Rolland

Cc: Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Happy New Year! Hard to believe we are half way through the month already.

I know you are probably getting ready to gear up (if you haven't already) for the upcoming national conference, but I was hoping to touch base with you, even if for 30 min, to discuss the CAFO data project.

I am attaching the updated MOU workplan as well as the completed table for each state - we are talking to SC tomorrow so that is the only one that is incomplete. The states that we are still awaiting some kind of information exchange include: DE, KY, MO, NV, VT, VA, and WA (i.e. 7 states I recall as listed before).

(See attached file: *CAFO Data Collection Table_ACWA revised7.xlsx*)

(See attached file: *MOU with ACWA_specific tasks-revised_ACWA comments_December2.docx*)

Thanks,

Becky Mitschele

Water Permits Division, OWM

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Tel: 202.564.6418

From: Sean Rolland <scrolland@acwa-us.org>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 1:57 PM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Thanks Michele,

We started doing the outreach and were worried about the communication getting buried in folks' inbox over the break. Kirk has been sending out reminder emails today.

I am assuming you have not heard from any states yet or you would have a smaller list. ☺

It is a bit of a crazy time but maybe we can catch up next week. How does Wednesday look on your calendar?

Sean

Sean Rolland, Esq.
Deputy Director
Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"
1221 Connecticut Ave. , NW, 2d Floor
Washington, DC 20036
(P:) 202-465-7179 (F:) 202-756-0605
scrolland@acwa-us.org; www.acwa-us.org

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information protected from disclosure. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 10:44 AM
To: Sean Rolland
Cc: Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Happy New Year! Hard to believe we are half way through the month already.

I know you are probably getting ready to gear up (if you haven't already) for the upcoming national conference, but I was hoping to touch base with you, even if for 30 min, to discuss the CAFO data project.

I am attaching the updated MOU workplan as well as the completed table for each state - we are talking to SC tomorrow so that is the only one that is incomplete. The states that we are still awaiting some kind of information exchange include: DE, KY, MO, NV, VT, VA, and WA (i.e. 7 states I recall as listed before).

(See attached file: *CAFO Data Collection Table_ACWA revised7.xlsx*)

(See attached file: MOU with ACWA_specific tasks-revised_ACWA comments_December2.docx)

Thanks,
Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

From: Sean Roland <sean.roland@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 1:57 PM
Subject: RE: CARO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Thanks, Michele,

We started doing the outreach and were worried about the communication being buried in folks' inbox over the holidays. But has been sending out reminder emails today.

I am assuming you have not heard from any states yet or you would have a smaller list. ☺

It is a bit of a crazy time but maybe we can catch up next week. How does Wednesday look on your calendar?

Sean

Sean Roland, Esq.
Deputy Director
Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstate"
1321 Connecticut Ave., NW, 14 Floor
Washington, DC 20036
(P) 202-462-7179 (F) 202-756-0805
www.acwa-us.org

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information protected from disclosure. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Michele Mitschele <mitchelsb@epa.gov> (mailto:mitchelsb@epa.gov)
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 10:44 AM
To: Sean Roland
Cc: Yager, Scott <scott.yager@epa.gov>
Subject: CARO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Happy New Year! Hard to believe we are half way through the month already.

I know you are probably getting ready to gear up (if you haven't already) for the upcoming national conference, but I was hoping to touch base with you even if for 30 min. to discuss the CARO data project.

I am attaching the updated MOU worksheet as well as the completed table for each state - we are talking to SD tomorrow so that is the only one that is incomplete. The states that we are still awaiting some kind of information exchange include DE, KY, MO, NY, VT, VA, and WA (i.e. 7 states I recall as listed below).

I've attached the CARO Data Collection Table (ACWA revised).xlsx

From: Becky_Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US%EPA@aa.ad.epa.gov
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 10:04 AM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Can I get your telephone number Kirk?

Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

 Kirk Tracy" ---01/16/2013 09:42:06 AM---Hi Becky,

From: "Kirk Tracy" <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
To: Becky Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Sean Rolland" <srolland@acwa-us.org>
Date: 01/16/2013 09:42 AM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Hi Becky,

I just got off the phone with John Madras of Missouri (john.madras@dnr.mo.gov) and he is trying to track down the latest communications EPA might have had with Missouri on this subject. I think he had a staff member on it who is no longer working with him, so he can't find the most recent emails/communications. It seems like they are willing and able to share some data but he wants to remind himself of whatever the latest communications/discussions were in case there's something he missed.

Are you able to track down whatever latest communications were had with Missouri? This would likely save them some time (even just a little bit) in gathering the data.

Thanks,
Kirk

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 2:09 PM
To: Sean Rolland
Cc: Kirk Tracy; Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Great, Sean! I am pretty wide open on Wednesday. Give me two options and I will send out a meeting invite.

Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

▼ "Sean Rolland" ---01/14/2013 01:57:22 PM---Thanks Michele,

From: "Sean Rolland" <srolland@acwa-us.org>
To: Becky Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Scott Yager/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, <ktracy@acwa-us.org>
Date: 01/14/2013 01:57 PM
Subject: RE: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Thanks Michele,

We started doing the outreach and were worried about the communication getting buried in folks' inbox over the break. Kirk has been sending out reminder emails today.

I am assuming you have not heard from any states yet or you would have a smaller list. ☺

It is a bit of a crazy time but maybe we can catch up next week. How does Wednesday look on your calendar?

Sean

Sean Rolland, Esq.

Deputy Director

Association of Clean Water Administrators—"The Voice of States & Interstates"

1221 Connecticut Ave. , NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

(P:) 202-465-7179 (F:) 202-756-0605

scrolland@acwa-us.org; www.acwa-us.org

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information protected from disclosure. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mitschele.Becky@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 10:44 AM

To: Sean Rolland

Cc: Yager.Scott@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base

Happy New Year! Hard to believe we are half way through the month already.

I know you are probably getting ready to gear up (if you haven't already) for the upcoming national conference, but I was hoping to touch base with you, even if for 30 min, to discuss the CAFO data project.

I am attaching the updated MOU workplan as well as the completed table for each state - we are talking to SC tomorrow so that is the only one that is incomplete. The states that we are still awaiting some kind of information exchange include: DE, KY, MO, NV, VT, VA, and WA (i.e. 7 states I recall as listed before).

(See attached file: *CAFO Data Collection Table ACWA revised7.xlsx*)

(See attached file: *MOU with ACWA_specific tasks-revised ACWA comments December2.docx*)

Thanks,
Becky Mitschele
Water Permits Division, OWM
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: 202.564.6418

